The Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR21-003-RSM 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER OF FORFEITURE 13 BRENDA S. CAMPBELL, 14 Defendant. 15 16 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of 17 an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant 18 19 Brenda S. Campbell's interest in the following property: A sum of money in the amount of \$607,133.22, reflecting additional proceeds the 20 Defendant obtained as a result of her commission of Wire Fraud, in violation of 21 18 U.S.C. § 1343. 22 The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers 23 and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is 24 appropriate because: 25 26 27 28

- The proceeds of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);
- In her amended plea agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum of money pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), as it reflects proceeds she personally obtained from her commission of the offense, Dkt. No. 24 ¶ 13; and,
- This sum of money is personal to Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

## NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and her amended plea agreement, Defendant's interest in the above-identified sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;
- 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) (B), this Order will become final as to the Defendant at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and it will be included in the judgment;
- 3. No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party other than the United States;
- 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed this sum of money;
- 5. Pursuant to the amended plea agreement, the United States will request that the Attorney General apply any amounts it collects toward satisfaction of this forfeited sum to the restitution that is ordered;
- 6. Pursuant to the amended plea agreement, any amount Defendant pays toward restitution will be credited against the forfeited sum; and,

1	7. The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
2	this Order, as necessary.
3 4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	DATED this 22 <sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2021.
7	
8	Dulas:
9	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	D 4. 11
16	Presented by:
17	s/Jehiel I. Baer
18	JEHIEL I. BAER Assistant United States Attorney
19	United States Attorney's Office
20	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101
21	(206) 553-2242
22	<u>Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov</u>
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	